## Explanation of Thermo-Hydraulic-Mechanical Behavior of Geomaterials in So-Called Isothermal Heating Test

#### Feng Zhang<sup>1</sup> and Yuhei Kurimoto<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Japan <sup>2</sup>Ph.D. candidate, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Japan

14-17 December, 2016, CSRME 14th Biennial National Congress

### Background $\sim$ high-level radioactive waste $\sim$

- In considering the problem of the deep geologic disposal for high level radioactive waste, thermo-hydraulic-mechanical (THM) behavior of artificial barrier, mainly composed of highly compacted bentonite and very stiff clays, is a very important factor that needs to be studied.
- Many laboratory, field tests and numerical analyses including constitutive modelling related to thermodynamic behaviors of geomaterials have been done.



### Previous studies $\sim$ Cekerevac and Laloui (2004) $\sim$

q

atoric stress

Thermo-mechanical

testing paths

Consolidation paths

Unloading path

Drained heating Shear paths

1 - 1

#### Heating test

 The specimen was heated gradually up to 90°C with a rate of about 1℃/hour (Initial temperature: 22°C)



### 等価応力の概念

▶ 温度変化により、応力変化と弾塑性ひずみが生じる(一定応力条件下)

温度変化による弾性ひずみをフックの法則に基づき, 等価応力  $\widetilde{
ho}_{\!\!m}$  を次式で定義

$$\widetilde{p}_{\rm m} = p_{\rm m} + K \varepsilon_{\rm v}^{\rm eT} \qquad \longleftarrow \qquad \varepsilon_{\rm v}^{\rm eT} = 3\alpha^{\rm s} (T - T_0)$$
$$= p_{\rm m} + 3K\alpha^{\rm s} \varepsilon_{\rm v}^{\rm eT} (T - T_0)$$



**~等価応力の概念~** Zhang, S. and Zhang, F. (2009)



### Material and physical parameters

#### FEM Program: "SOFT" (Xiong, Y., 2015)

• THM coupling finite element-finite difference (FE-FD) analysis

<u>Constitutive model</u>: "Modified thermo-elasto-visocoplastic model" (Zhang, S. and Zhang, F., 2009; Xiong, Y., 2015)

- Subloading (Hashiguchi, K. et al., 1977) & t<sub>ij</sub> transformed stress space (Nakai, T. and Mihara, Y., 1984)
- Thermal expansion coefficient  $(a^{s}_{T}) \rightarrow Any$  material will exhibit expansion whenever it is heated at elementary level

Parameters	Unit	Kaolin clay
Compression index $\lambda$	-	0.10
Swelling index $\kappa$	-	0.01
Critical state stress ratio $R_{\rm f}$	-	2.09
Void ratio $N (p = 98 \text{ kPa on } N.C.L.)$	-	0.93
Poisson's ratio $\nu$	-	0.35
Parameter for plastic potential shape $\beta$	-	1.5
Time dependent parameter $\alpha$	-	0.0
Time dependent parameter $C_n$	-	0.0
Degradation parameter of overconsolidation state <i>a</i>	-	2000
Permeability k	m/min	3.0E-9
Thermal expansion coefficient of solid phase $\alpha^{s}$ <sub>T</sub>	K-1	-8.0E-6
Thermal expansion coefficient of fluid phase $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{f}_{T}$	K-1	-2.07E-4
Specific heat of solid phase $c^s$	J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	840
Specific heat of fluid phase $c^{\mathbf{f}}$	J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	4184
Thermal conductivity of solid phase $k^{s}$ <sub>T</sub>	kJ m <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup>	0.18
Heat transfer coefficient of air boundary $\alpha_{c}$	kJ m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup>	230

#### Yield function

$$f\left(t_{ij}, \mathcal{E}_{v}^{p}, T\right) = \ln\left(\frac{t_{N}}{t_{N0}}\right) + \xi\left(X\right) - \frac{1}{C_{p}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{v}^{p} - \frac{\rho}{1 + e_{0}}\right) = 0$$

#### Evolution law

$$\frac{\dot{\rho}}{1+e_0} = -\Lambda \frac{G(\rho,t)}{\tilde{t}_N} + h(t)$$
$$= -\Lambda \frac{a \cdot \rho \cdot \rho^{C_n \ln(1+t/t_1)}}{t_N + 3K\alpha_T^s(T-T_0)} + \dot{\varepsilon}_v^0 (1+t/t_1)^{-\alpha}$$

### **Element simulation**



Observed results

(test data: Cekerevac and Laloui, 2004)

## Objective and boundary condition

### <u>Objective</u>

- Is the contractive behavior of soft clay when heated under constant isotropic stress condition an elementary behavior?
- If not, how to model contractive behavior of soil in the heating test with a rational constitutive model?

#### **Boundary condition**

- FEM mesh: Node 2541 and Element 2000
- Hydraulic and thermal boundary conditions: all boundaries are set to be drained and heat source

### Initial condition

- OCR: 1.0, 1.2, 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 6.0, 12.0 (Consolidation yield stress=600kPa)
- Temperature: 22℃ (About 4℃/hour)



## Heating test (OCR=1.0)

#### <u>Temperature=26℃</u>



Even if the heating process is very slow, the uneven thermal field within the heating sample may give rise to non-uniform stress and strain fields.



Distributions of various physical quantities within the testing samples (OCR=1.0) at different heating stages

### Heating test (OCR=12.0)

#### <u>Temperature=26℃</u>



Distributions of various physical quantities within the testing samples (OCR=12.0) at different heating stages

### Temperature-volumetric strain relation

#### Observed results

#### Simulated results



It is not necessary to introduce any extra parameter into properly organized thermo-elastoplastic model, to describe the phenomenon of "the volumetric contraction of soft clay due to heating"



Comparison of observed and simulated temperature-volumetric strain relation (test data: Cekerevac and Laloui, 2004)

The calculated volumetric strain is on the whole agreed with the testes one in its variation with temperature, though quantitatively there still existed some discrepancy.

### Time histories $\sim u_w, e_v, \sqrt{2I_2} \sim$



Time histories of various physical quantities at the center and the corner of the samples with different OCR

### The non-uniform stress and strain fields

> The heating process can be roughly divided into two stages:

#### Stage 1: Heat transfer, Stage 2: Pore water dissipation

- As the thermal conductivity is much higher than the hydraulic conductivity in soft clay, Stage 1 can be considered as very short compared to Stage 2.
- As the result, thermal dilation is very fast, followed by the water pressure dissipation.
- However, Stage 1 and Stage 2 are not evenly happen within the sample and therefore volumetric change will also become uneven, resulting in contraction in some areas.
- This unevenness will not disappear even if EPWP dissipated completely and the temperature in the whole sample became uniform after long time.
- Because whenever a contractive strain occurs, plastic volumetric strain will also occur and basically it is uneven distributed.

# **Thanks for listening!**