

Dynamic Loading of Carrara Marble in a Heated State

presented by:

Li Zhihuan PhD candidate School of Civil and Environmental Engineering

Date of Presentation: 15th December 2016

- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Discussion

Introduction

- Dynamic compression while rock is still heated
- Split Hopkinson
 Pressure Bar powered by nitrogen
 gas gun and fitted
 with customised oven





Introduction

- Scenarios:
 - fire in unlined mining tunnel
 - traffic accident in service tunnel
 - any case where there is dynamic loading during a fire
- Mont Blanc tunnel fire (1999)
 - Damaged shotcrete lining leading to rock damage



Introduction

• Stress shadowing





- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Discussion

6

Methodology

- Carrara marble discs were cut from a slab using a water jet abrasive machine
- Heated at a rate of 2 °C per minute to temperatures of 250 °C, 500 °C and 750 °C
- Tested at pressures of 4 bars, 6.5 bars and 9 bars
- 3 wave analysis





Methodology

- Energy at peak stress and total energy absorbed is calculated using area under the stress-strain curve
- Particle size distribution of fragments done via dry sieving





- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Discussion

- Different types of failure mode preferred at different temperature and pressures
- Axial splitting and pulverization observed at low temperatures





- Failure mode also changes with respect to strain rate
- Transition zone of axial splitting observed
- Similar to observation by Doan and Billi (2011)





- Minor increase in energy absorbed at peak stress from 6.5 bars to 9 bars
- Lower strength
- Higher strain





- Major increase in total energy absorbed from 6.5 bars to 9 bars
- Large standard deviation for 250 °C specimens
- Different amount of energy consumed for different failure mode
- Possible stress shadowing





 Particle size distribution of fragments found via dry sieving











From Liu and Xu (2013)



Failure modes of marble under different temperatures. (a) T=25 °C, (b) T=100 °C, (c) T=200 °C, (d) T=400 °C, (e) T=600 °C, (f) T=800 °C and (g) T=1000 °C.

- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Discussion



Discussion

- Similar amount of energy needed for specimens to fail
- Difference in total energy absorbed is due to difference in average fragment size
- Strength of specimens inversely correlated to heating temperature
- Strain rate effect decreases as temperature increases









Discussion

- Heated rocks absorbs more energy
- Assumes no stress shadowing
- Compromised rock acts as 'crumple zones'
- May reduce area of damaged rock due to dynamic loading





Thank you

